



Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences (Autonomous)

(Affiliated to AU, Approved by AICTE & Accredited by NBA & NAAC with 'A' Grade)

Sangivalasa-531 162, Bheemunipatnam Mandal, Visakhapatnam District

Phone: 08933-225083/84/87

Fax: 226395

Website: www.anits.edu.in

email: principal@anits.edu.in

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Format for Academic Audit

(To be filled up by Academic Experts)

Academic year 2021-22

1. Name of the Auditor: Dr.G.Srinivasu - *G. Srinivasu*
Designation: Professor
Address: GITAM (Deemed to be University)
Contact No.:9963199200
Email ID:sgorla@gitam.edu
2. Name of the Auditor :
Designation
Address
Contact no.
Email ID
3. The days and dates of visit: SATURDAY & 18-03-23



Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences (Autonomous)

(Affiliated to AU, Approved by AICTE & Accredited by NBA & NAAC with 'A' Grade)
Sangivalasa-531 162, Bheemunipatnam Mandal, Visakhapatnam District
Phone: 08933-225083/84/87 Fax: 226395
email: principal@anits.edu.in
Website: www.anits.edu.in

Features of Academic Audit Format

- Academic Audit Format is designed to incorporate the guidelines provided by National Knowledge Commission for various parameters.
- Academic Audit Format is designed to incorporate the most of the parameters related to various stake holders.
- Evaluation is based on nine different parameters indicating academic ambience at the campus at the campus.
- Proper weightage to each parameter as shown below.

S. No.	Parameters of Evaluation	Max Marks
1.	Curricula	120
2.	Academic Facilities	100
3.	Teaching Learning proves	130
4.	Evaluation System	140
5.	Result	100
6.	General Academic Activities	100
7.	Feedback and Corrective Action	100
8.	Faculty Performance / Upgradation	110
9.	Attainment of CO's and PO's of all courses	100
10.	Total	1000

- Evaluation is more objective rather than subjective.
- Evaluation provides the specific information about the shortcomings.
- Academic Audit Format includes evaluation of system, students and facility.
- Evaluation of faculty includes evaluation by students as well as higher officer.
- Evaluation of students includes internal continuous evaluation, end semester evaluation, curricular and co-curricular and evaluation and placement.
- Evaluation includes infrastructural and other facilities provided by the institute / department for overall development of students.
- Academic Audit Format manual is being prepared to facilitate the institute / department and the auditor to furnish the information and to evaluate respectively.

Summary

Grading System:

S. No.	Parameters of Evaluation	Max Marks	TOTAL Marks
1.	Curricula	120	96
2.	Academic Facilities	100	70
3.	Teaching Learning proves	130	94
4.	Evaluation System	140	121
5.	Result	100	93.43
6.	General Academic Activities	100	64
7.	Feedback and Corrective Action	100	65
8.	Faculty Performance / Upgradation	110	68
9.	Attainment of CO's and PO's of all courses	100	75
10.	Total	1000	746.43

Grade	Details	Total Marks scored
A+	Excellent	800 and above
A	Good	700-799
B	Acceptable	600-699
C	Not-acceptable	Below 600

1. Strengths
2. Weaknesses
3. Suggestions based on information

Name and signature of Auditor

1. Dr. Srinivas Govla

(Handwritten Signature)

1. Curricula

S. No.	Sub-Parameter	Marks Assigned	Marks scored
1.	Curricula content to provide understanding concepts of (with sufficient practical exposure)		
	Mathematics and basic sciences	5	5
	Basic Engineering subjects	5	5
	Management and Humanities	6	5
	Programme Core	30	25
	Elective Subjects	6	5
	Interdisciplinary elective subjects	4	2
	Open Elective/Emerging subjects in curricula	4	2
	NPTEL courses/MOOCs	4	4
	Communication and computer skills	5	5
	Proficiency in analysis, synthesis, modeling & simulation and problem-solving skills	5	3
	Innovative and creative abilities	5	3
	Human skills and relations, professional ethics, environment	4	3
	Entrepreneurial skills	4	2
	Hands on training and other industrial needs	4	4
Self learning practices	4	3	
2.	Do the curricula take care of slow and fast learners learning simultaneously ?	10	8
3.	Revision / Upgradation of the curriculum to incorporate appropriate new knowledge systems (based on no. of BoS/ APMC meeting)	15	12
Total		120	96
Additional Comments / feedback / observations/ advice which may or may not be based on above points: Subjects like Python programming is to be introduced in first year Courses. Interdisciplinary elective Subjects should be offered as Honors / Minors.			

2. Academic Facilities

S. No.	Sub-Parameter	Marks Assigned	Marks scored
1.	No of class rooms with LCDs	5	2
2.	No of laboratories and display of Cos and POs	5	4
3.	No of tutorial rooms	5	1
4.	Faculty rooms / cabins	5	5
5.	Equipments available (curricula and cost wise)	5	4
6.	Computing facilities (including software)	10	10
7.	Research/Project laboratory	10	3
8.	Electric power available for 24 x 7 and power backups	5	5
9.	Audio visual facilities	5	2
10.	Class rooms furniture (quantity and quality)	5	4
11.	Laboratories furniture (quantity and quality)	10	8
12.	No of books e-library, online journals and printed journals related to programme in the central library	10	8
13.	Department library /life long learning resources	10	7
14.	Internet and Wi-Fi facility	5	4
15.	Improvement made in infrastructural facilities during last year	5	4
Total		100	70
Any additional comments / feedback / observations / advice which may or may not be based on above points: All classrooms should be equipped with LCD's Tutorial rooms.			

3. Teaching – Learning Process

Sr. No.	Sub-Parameter	Marks Assigned	Marks scored
1.	Theory Courses		
	Course File (Syllabus sheet, Course material, content beyond syllabus, MID question papers, Answer sheets, Assignments, External QP, Quizes and Activites)		
	% of subjects for which teaching plan (including books to be referred) is prepared and execution plan submitted at the end of semester (including quality of execution)	10	8
	Quality of teaching plan and notes prepared by faculty	10	7
	Quality of Assignments	10	7
	Case studies/ Mini Projects given as activity for core courses	10	6
	Novel techniques used for effective teaching learning process (including use of A/V aids, development of software/ teaching aids, use or available software, use of available learning resources etc.)	10	6
2.	Practical		
	Quality of experiments conducted (Do the experiments designed facilitate the students in generating creative thoughts ?)	10	8
	Availability of laboratory manual and its quality	10	8
	Maintenance of laboratory equipments and new addition	10	8
	New experiments designed by UG/PG Students	10	6
3.	Corrective action taken by department against the students who are absent to the classes regularly	10	8
4.	Staff development programs for Faculty and / or supporting staff including FDP / STTP etc.	10	8
5.	Actions taken by department to improve performance of academically weaker students	10	7
6.	Efforts taken by department to improve overall performance of students including Workshop / Short term course/Hackathons/ Tech fest outside institute etc.	10	7
	Total	130	94
Any additional comments / feedback / observations/ advice which may or may not be based on above points:			
<i>Innovative teaching methods for the assessment of bright students using mini projects or case studies should be enabled using open source tools.</i>			

4. Examination and Evaluation System

Sr. No.	Sub-Parameter	Marks Assigned	Marks scored
1	Examination Process		
	Strict execution of academic calendar for all examinations	5	5
	Strict execution of academic calendar for declaration of result including revaluation, redressal etc.	5	4
	Transparency in the processes like internal assessment; scrutiny and declaration of result	5	4
	Appropriate weightage for continuous evaluation and end semester examination.	5	4
	Staff appointed for examination (invigilator, squad, office staff etc).	5	5
	Process of appointment of paper setters/ examiners / evaluators / moderators etc.	5	4
	Process of question paper printing and distribution (including quality and format of question paper)	5	5
2	Quality of internal question papers		
	Appropriate coverage of syllabus in class test and labelling of COs on the question paper	5	5
	Difficulty level of the question papers including numerical	5	4
	Innovation in question paper (Volume of repeated questions, correlation of question with competitive examinations)	5	3
3	Quality of external question papers		
	Coverage of all COs	5	5
	Difficulty level of the question papers (simple to pass and difficult to serve i.e. take care of average as well as sharp students)	5	4
	Do the questions, test and develop the problem –solving skills and students' proficiency in analysis and design ?	5	4
	Whether the marks assigned to the questions appropriate? Are the model answers submitted by paper setters to Examination Cell	5	3
	Language / Clarity / Variety etc in questions (Majority of the questions should not be just like write short note/ explain / discuss etc.	5	3
4	Does the moderation of each question papers completed before paper printing ?	5	5
6	Assessment of Project work / Industrial training/ Internship term work		
	Continuous assessment of project works/seminars through rubrics	5	4
7	Assessment Process of theory external papers		
	Presence of Process for evaluating external papers	5	5
	Model answers and marking scheme	5	5
	Evaluation quality (% of students applying for revaluation and of change in mark cases)	5	5
	Average experience of evaluators	5	4
	Moderation process	5	5
	Revaluation and recounting of answer sheets within	5	5

	mentioned period		
	Does the Complaint Redressal Committee exist to tackle the cases of lapses associated with examinations ?	5	4
	Whether the photocopy of the assessed paper is made available the students, if requested ? Its duration.	5	2
8	Involvement of external faculty member in the examination work as		
	Paper setter	5	5
	Evaluator	5	5
	Examiner for practical / oral examination	5	5
	Total	140	121
Additional comments / feedback / observations/ advice which may or may not be based on above points:			

5. Results

S. No.	Sub-Parameter	Marks Assigned	Marks scored
1	Performance of students in examinations (Without backlogs)	60	
	% of students passing IV year *0.15		14.535
	% of students passing III year *0.15		14.251
	% of students passing II year *0.15		13.41
	% of students passing I year *0.15		13.91
2	Overall Performance of students (With backlogs)	40	
	% of students passing IV year *0.1		9.69
	% of students passing III year *0.1		9.43
	% of students passing II year *0.1		8.94
	% of students passing I year *0.1		9.27
	Total	100	93.43
Additional comments / feedback/ observations / advice which may or may not be based on above points:			

7. Student's Feedback and Corrective Action

Sr. No.	Sub-Parameter	Marks Assigned	Marks scored
1	Feed back during the semester		
	Frequency of obtaining student's feedback (Meetings of CRCs etc)	20	10
	Corrective action taken at the departmental level	20	10
2	Suggestion box		
	Availability of suggestion box	5	5
	How frequently suggestion box is opened ?(Maximum marks for opening twice in a month)	5	2
	Corrective action taken for suggestions at the departmental level	10	6
3	Exit feed back		
	Attainment of POs for the academic year	10	8
	Corrective Action of attainment of POs	10	8
4	Survey on outcome of the course / individual class using web tools	20	16
	% of total courses for which survey is done * 20		
	Total	100	65
	Additional comments / feedback/ observations / advice which may or may not be based on above points:		
	Suggestion box usage should be increased		

8. Faculty Performance

Sr. No.	Sub-Parameter	Marks Assigned	Marks scored
1	Feedback from students (Department index on 15 points)	20	16
2	Performance appraisal	20	
3	% of faculty received "outstanding" remark *0.2		
	% of faculty received "Excellent" remark *0.15		15
	% of faculty received "positively good" remark *0.1		
3	Qualification enhancement of faculty	5	5
4	Overall performance of department faculty members , based on research paper published in journals, conferences and seminar.	15	10
5	MODROB/ TAPEC/ RPS and other sponsored projects received by the department	5	-
6	Participation of faculty as resource person outside the Institution	10	5
7	Performance of faculty, based on books publication, patent filed and awards.	10	5
8	Performance of faculty, based on consultancy and internal revenue generation (IRG)	10	2
10	Innovative ideas implemented by the faculty for overall development of students both in co-curricular (quality circle, hobby club etc) and extra curricular	10	5
11	Whether guided PG/ Research scholars	5	5
	Total	110	68
Additional comments / feedback/ observations / advice which may or may not be based on above points: Funding proposals & projects should be taken as priority			

9. COs and POs of the Programme

Sr. No.	Sub-Parameter	Marks Assigned	Marks scored
1	Framing of COs to all the courses including theory, laboratory, project, Seminar, Industrial Training	15	15
2	Documentation of Justification table for CO-PO articulation table for all courses	15	10
3	Calculation of CO-PO attainment for all courses in the programme	20	15
4	Calculation of POs by indirect attainment (with reasonable sample size)	20	15
5	Reasons for non-attainment of COs and POs if any	15	10
6	Corrective measures for non-attainment of COs and POs	15	10
Total		100	75
Additional comments / feedback/ observations / advice which may or may not be based on above points: Indirect attainment calculation can be made more specific.			

G. Srinivas Gowda
 (Prof Srinivas Gowda)